

Cedric Johnson # K-61104
SAN BUENITA ST. PRISON
SAN QUENTIN, CA. 94974

6-22-22

(105)

RE: SO 75727 Cedric Jelonte Johnson

To: Chief Justice.
Associate Justices,

This is Cedric Jelonte Johnson once again - writing from San Quentin (S.Q.) death row, where I've been knowingly & deliberately & unlawfully for the 'last 23 years.'

I decided to write the Court once again, & once again I asked & pray that the Court provide me with a copy of my Notice of Appeal (again, what I wrote on the Notice of Appeal speak for it self).

The 2nd reason because the Court deny me (a) great disservice (sic), beside doing me (a) great disservice & making a mockery of the integrity of the Court, & extending the mockery to the Court - from Compton Court (where Compton Court turned my prosecution into a circus (over))

is a sham (As I told the Court - doing my 1st Circuit is sham trial - of course by not taking the witness stand - I induced a hung jury against a tampered with jury)

Free information: The Chicago juror, who, felt so strong about the Circuit & sham & mockery of a trial, went into a yellow pages - got a number of one of my in-laws & told them to tell me:

1) That I saved myself by taking the witness stand. If I had not taken the witness stand I would have been convicted FOREVER.

2) That that Bums Corrupt Lawyer (Steven K. Halper) was not doing anything for me.

3) That the only thing I needed was a lawyer & I would go home.

Then, you had a Criminologist on my jury (who then Bums Corrupt Lawyers refused to remove & (Joyce Gilchrist etc.))

The Criminologist was telling the jurors to follow her because she knew the Law - to convict me & the 2nd defendant.

The Chicago juror response to the (CON: pg 2)

(Comp. 2) Criminologist - that if she knew the law is good as she said she do, then she knew that those boys did not witness the shootings - it's impossible! (That's how flagrant the crimes are against U.S.).

Beside the so-called witnesses would admit that their stories were manufactured in the 1st Circus, a sham trial.

That was the reason they (District Attorney, Alternative public defenders & the Court) did not want me to represent myself. Because they did not want me to continue with my attacks (They knew how effective I could & would be).

My attacks was there was no reliability, no veracity or truthfulness to any thing they so-called witnesses were saying or had said.

That they were knowingly using false & perjured evidence.

You cannot have 3-so-called witnesses with 3-dramatically opposed statements, from beginning - middle - & end, including 3-different theories. At least 2-of the so-called witnesses stories is (over)

out right fake (A) lie. . . That leave 1-30 -
Called witness - & that witness story must
fit the facts of the Case. (As the Chicago
Jury stated to the rest of the Jurors,
It's No Doubt they boys did not witness
the Shootings - Because what they said
happened, Could not had happened the
way they said it did - Period.

I would sacrifice the Chicago Jury,
who was in contact with my in-law.
The Chicago Jury was calling - every
few days or so.

A Attorney name Valerie Monroe, Came
& visited me - & I shared with her,
that the Chicago Jury was in contact
with my in-laws. . . Abruptly the Jury
stopped calling - It's No Doubt that the
Jury was tampered with.

As a Mexican name Lou (who got him
self out of prison on 7-different occa-
sions - by doing his own appeal) I had
asked him for some pointers, - Because
he was giving pointers / advice to other
people prisoners.

Lou, responded, I did not need his help
Because I knew what I was doing (Con. pg. 3)

(Con. pg. 3) The way I was attacking my Case
it got to be free. It don't make sense
otherwise.

Law Continued, the thing about your case
is, they must stop your attacks - They
must make you doubt yourself. They
can play all the games they want to.
But they can't let you take your Case
to trial. They can go to jail & their not
going to jail over you.

Law Concluded with, they have 2 choices
1) Either dismiss your charges. or 2)
take your proper status.

As the Court is aware of, they de-
cided to take my proper status.

which is another reason why I am
writing. -- Because the Court (California
Supreme Court) knew & knows that there
is a automatic review of taking a pro
per prisoners proper status.

Even that Bum Corrupt Lawyer (Steven K.
Hawker) knew that my main issue was
my proper status on appeal (see enclosed
letter from (Steven K. Hawker) - it's clear
what the issue, going to be front & center
on my appeal (letter dated May 1, 1998).

Note: Instead of the California Supreme, (Hawker)

Court wanted to verify if my declaration on the Notice of Appeal was true - They appointed a Bum Corrupt Lawyer, name Joseph Chabot. He was shocked & averse at how good I represented myself in position (He said as much). He could not reconcile how the Stenographers had me sounding at times in the records. (Stenographers are obsolete - Computers should replace them - it's long overdue).

That Bum appeal lawyer (Joseph Chabot) made another - mockery of the integrity of the Court with his gutter appeal brief. I was so enraged as I read (2006) I could not sleep for 3-days. The appeal brief is total nonsense. (But like, that Bum Lawyer admitted - he was working against my interests). The appeal brief support that).

After the Supreme Court issued a order about having a hearing on my Circa's sham Case, That Bum Lawyer Joseph Chabot decided to retire.

Another Lawyer name Nina Wilder was appointed to do oral arguments.

I had one visit with her before oral arguments. I specifically informed Atty Wilder that my pro per issue is (Constitution)

(Cen. pg. 4) My Main Issue.

Atty. Wilder responded, that y'all (the Court) have been briefed on "all" the issues(s).

When I received a Copy of the 2101 arguments (Atty Wilder & the Court) I was absolutely disgusted (Total Nonsense & Garbage that's what it was / That's what it is).

Also, Joseph Chabot placed in my appellate brief that "I had a distorted perspective of Reality."

The only perspective of Reality I have is, the U.S. Constitution Suppose to protect its Citizens from unfounded, or Baseless or perjured Charges - which I am a victim of.

Let my vicious attacks against that Bum Corrupt Lawyer (Steven F. Hawley) was also grounds for his removal (That issue was not litigated either) (IAC).

My Case is full of Constitutional issues that was not address. Explicit attempts to sweep them under the rug (ineffective Assistance of Counsel) / Complicity).

As I move to Close I want the (over)

California Supreme Court to know also that
y'all declaration in the Court decision is
also, false, wrong - a affirmative misrepres-
entation of known fact.

The Murder Books (Chronological listing of
the investigation report) do not say 50, 40,
30, 20 or 10 people was outside of the party.

In the 1st CIRCUS & Sherrin trial neither did
the detective(s) say it was - 10 - or - 50 people
outside of the party (Not even 5-people
outside of the party.).

The 1st time I seen that statement (that there
was 50-people outside of the party) was, by
the 2nd defendant on the Case, Appeal lawyer
briefs (I called her appeal briefs-Poison
Pills).

The Lawyer representing the 2nd defendant
break down how the 3-so-called witnesses
had not witness the shootings (That's beside
them boys admitting that they had lied).

The 2nd defendant appeal lawyer than
stated, that there was 50-people outside
of the party (meaning, even though the 3-
so-called witnesses, were lying - still, there
were 50-people outside of the party. (Conv. 9.5)

(Con. pg. 5) undermining the 2nd defendant's chances of overcoming his unlawful detention/conviction.

Also, the 2nd Appellate Court issued a unpublished decision in the 2nd defendant case. (Meaning, that the case cannot be cited in NO OTHER CASES. BECAUSE the ruling is garbage.)

AS I end, I come, to the Court, requesting that the California Supreme Court allow me to litigate 6 issues

1) MY Falletta Rights, unduly prejudicial, structural error, due process violations.

2) Prosecutorial misconduct, due process violations, structural error, unduly prejudicial, vindictive prosecution.

3) knowingly & intentionally using "all" false & perjured evidence, due process violations, structural error, unduly prejudicial (A CRIME AB 1909)

4) MY attacks against the Lawyer (Steven L. Hales). The Court's obligation of duty by forcing him (on & off) MY case. I moved to represent myself from day one - It can be NO EXCUSE. Period. unduly prejudicial, structural error, due process violations.

5) IAC (Ineffective Assistance of Counsel) (From Compton Court - 75 Appeal Briefs) due process violation, unduly prejudicial

(over)

Structural Error.

6) CAPITAL SEVERANCE MOTION
Failing to Process & Refusing to Process
MY Motion. Due Process Violation, Unlawful
Prejudicial, Structural Error.

I Thank the Court for its time & attention.
I pray to get a favorable Response.

SINCERELY
Cedric Johnson
RE: SO 75727

P.S.

I still want a Copy of MY Notice of Ap-
peal.

P.S.S.

A attorney came up to see me (once
I was on death row 1999) Her name is RIGNE
SAMUELSON - who told me that she had want to see
attorney (Steven K. Haller) about a another Disorder,
he have on Death Row. Atty. Samuelson said, Atty.
Haller told her that everybody was telling
him to get off MY Case. But Atty. Haller said,
he was not going to do that. - NREG-UP HIS
Case. Atty. Samuelson said, her thinking was
whoa? This man is dangerous & he should not
be practicing law.

cc.

CA. Chief & Associate Justices, D.A. Office
IMMEDIATE CLASSIFIED