

A Snapshot
of MY DELIBERATE
FALSE, UNLAWFUL
IMPRISONMENT!

1/2021

As for my side of the story; the evidence, the facts, the truth - it is simple:

Two men - one name Lawrence Figgins, the second name Gregory Hightower were gunned down in the Jordan Down Housing Complex (A Housing Complex in Watts one nite in September 1996).

The police/detectives was being told from the start that alleged gang members, from a "rival gang" in the area was behind the shootings.

I would also tell the Detectives the same thing (December 1996). That the word was other "rival gang" had perpetrated the Shootings.

Also, AS I sat on the witness stand I would repeatedly informed the jury (O)

'A tampered with Titors) in my own defense
"only defense (which I induced a hung jury).
That a "Vai Gang" was behind the shooting
(3rd Party Culpit.) (1st Trial 1998)). SUPPRESSED!

AS for my whereabouts, I was at my
wife apartment - estranged wife apartment.
I had been drinking (Cognac) at my late
dad's mother house (late in the afternoon &
early in the evening.) So I decided to
go get me some sleep for relax.
My Estranged wife woke me up & informed
me about the shooting. I would ask her
who was behind the shootings? She stated, she
did not know. I realized it was late & it
was nothing I could do about it - so I
bhid back down.

Early the next morning I got up to go & see
what was going on? People were already outside
discussing what had happened & Vai
gang had perpetrated the shootings. Also, I
learned that both victims had succumbed to
their gun shots.

I was also informed that there was only
"2-to-3 people" in the area were the shootings
occurred (Again, this evidence was suppressed.)
Also, one of the men (Figgins) was shot

(Cont. pg. 2) on, the "side of the building" but was able to run a short distance. Then 2-3 men got there in the area scattered. (Never identified, never questioned - again evidence suppressed.).

The 2nd man shot (Hightower) was shot in his Car. The occupant in the car with (Hightower) name is (Lewis).

(Lewis) had informed the homicide detective, that gun shots were coming from the the "Side of the building."

(Lewis) stated, that (he) & (Hightower) were leaving the area - when he (Lewis) got out of the car to move a bicycle blocking the front of the big side-walk; when suddenly a loud gun shot ran out behind him.

(Lewis) stated, (he) dropped the bicycle, (he) never looked back - as gun shots were ringing-out behind him (Lewis) thinking was they were trying too shot (him.).

(Lewis) also stated in the Interview with the Detectives, 1) that (he) did not see anyone out there as (he)

left the car. 2) that a "rival gang" had perpetrated the crimes (again evidence twisted; suppressed).

Then there is (Rochelle) Johnson (No kin) a nursing student at the time - who would provide (CPA) an (highlighter) (Rochelle) would tell the Detectives that a rival gang had perpetrated the crimes again, evidence suppressed, twisted).
Note.

The Los Angeles Police Operations/Manual Policy dictate that alleged perpetrators, "witnesses" interviews be taped (Hidden Audio & Video is provided). . .

The claim by the District Attorney that Lewis' (Rochelle) Interview was not taped is garbage/bogus.

At the beginning of Nov. 1996 I would be informed that [Ex] Police Chief Williams at a "Town Hall" meeting mentioned, NY Part (Saying the Police had no witnesses or evidence against Nas -

Then on the early morning of Dec. 13, 1996 the Los Angeles Police, F.B.I. & Parole Agent would execute to Frank Search warrants. . .
(2)

(Con't pg. 3) otherwise, the search warrant, were issued under false information, was a fishing expedition.

I was at the apartment of (Rechak) who my friend (Terry) was on parole. I was not on probation (or) parole. The officer had NO GROUNDS TO DETAIN (or) ARREST ME.

The officers would go from my illegal detention - To - my - full - lawful Arrest.
I absolutely believe in MY CONSTITUTIONAL (4th) AMENDMENT RIGHTS

I was demanding that I be released. Hours after my false arrest, officers would illegally go into the trunk of a car - retrieve at that time "A MAC 90, Sporta rifle" legal under the STATE (FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONS.).

The officers had NO GROUNDS TO SEARCH the car, OR RETRIEVE the rifle (again, I absolutely believe in MY CONSTITUTIONAL (2nd) AMENDMENT RIGHTS).

Understand, as a BLACK AMERICAN (male) I absolutely believe in MY CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS (Bills of Rights; Civil Rights) EN- phasis Added D.

(OVER)

Also, the officers or parole agent had no PERMISSION to detain (Rochelle's) Boy Friend (Terry). As a matter of fact, the parole agent was no longer assigned to (Terry).

The parole agent kept saying, the only thing (Terry) could get from this (sham) parole search was a parole violation.

NOTE:

The (2) hand guns taken from the apartment both belonged to me. I made that clear from the start.

Including, my finger prints was the only finger prints on the guns.

I was detained/arrested about 5:30 AM. Once at the Police Station - about 7^{AM} or so. I would see the assigned Homicide Detective (Vena) to the case.

Det. Vena, 1st asked me did I give up my (Constitutional) RIGHT to remain silent? . . . I told Det. Vena. No!

Det. Vena, then asked me would I talk to him off the records? I told Det. Vena I would.

Det. Vena asked me was I in the Housing Complex the time of the shooting? I told I was.

(Cont. pg. 4) I also told Det. Vena that I was innocent. That a rival gang was behind the shootings.

Det. Vena, would ask me would I take a Poly Graph Test? I told Det. Vena, I had to talk to my lawyer first.

(That would be the extent of all "off the record" conversation).

Det. Vena, told me that I was not being detained that I could go.

NOTE HERE:

Det. Vena, admitted after I induced a Hung Jury (A Chicago Shaw Trial) that he was not behind the charges/false charges being filed against me.

Det. Vena, also repeatedly informed the Judge - that he did want to be a part of that show trial otherwise he could not be there. The Judge told Det. Vena, that he was ordering him back (that how flagrant "SICKISH NEGLIGENCE is in my case").

(OVER)

That, when I 1st came in contact with Det. Brian Agnew (COP/PT as they call). Det. Agnew would always state that the Police do not have anything on me.

Det. Agnew, would not only ask if I had he wanted to set me up. - Det. Agnew, stated that they (Police) had Judges, District-Attorneys, "Public Defenders (Lawyers) who work hand-in-hand with them (Police) to get people they wanted to get.

One only need to follow my cases too, know the TRUTH behind Det. Agnew's words.

On the morning of Dec. 13, 1996 I had a case of the flu - so my patient was short. . . I would start demanding I be sent to Paltier Center (For Medical Treatment), be released or charged for some thing.

It would be about 12 hours after my arrest that I am booked into the Police Station (as the jailer stated to me, what they are doing to you is illegal - EXACTLY). My bail was set at about \$30,000 if that.

Once at Carlton Court, I was told I was being charged with having 3 AT-47 assault rifle. By Det. Agnew . . . (COP)

2nd, I wanted to see why was I being detained; how was I being charged with a rifle (silenced AK-47), that the Police had taken from the Trunk of a car (in another location).

3rd, I wanted to know how they joined (joined) myself together (manipulation of the charging process). Please see, my Notice of Appeal Aug. 1997.) Why were the Court, District Attorney's, Public defenders allowing the gun case to be joined together to (I have (10) solid issues to be appealed.).

I would remain (mostly) silent for 3 to 4 months ~ As the Court, District Attorney's, Public Defenders (offices) gladly pushed my Share/Knaru packed gun Case/Charge along.

After about the 6th, 7th Public Defender, some telling me it was not possible to get the (Share) gun Charge, dismissed. Other Public Defender Unhinged as I stated the law to them.

The last Public Defender to approach me as I noted to represent myself, stated, let see what you can do law Professor (CJ)

(Con't p9.5) I replied, watch this.

I asked the Judge, can I address the Court?

The Judge replied, No! You done had 6th, 7th good lawyers & you have done them all duty - we have ways of making you Cooperate! Do you understand?!

That was my cue. I stood up & told the Judge, No, I don't understand what I understand is my CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS & CIVIL RIGHTS have been flagrantly violated. . . I would go on & detail about my CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS, what them Rights entails (e.g., From my UNLAWFUL ARREST, To manipulation of the Charging process, To my relationships with Lawyer(s)).

As the Judge questioned me. The Judge went from hostility to total surprised as I answered all his questions - with sound CONSTITUTIONAL LAW.

[I would look to see where that Public Defender was at in the Court room - But he had fled - No where to be seen]. - 10th

The Judge then stated, let take a brief recess! see if we could settle this.

It would be about 3 days before I came back into a courtroom.

Once back at court I was taken to the Pro Per (Self Representation) Court room - where I moved immediately to have my sham charges dismissed.

I would ask the Judge if I get the charge dismissed, if they manipulate the charging process - if the case come back in front of you will you dismiss it again? The Judge, Non Committed, stated, yes. (because he had no intention of dismissing the charges/case - unless the law clearly forces him to do so) The law was exactly going to force the District Attorney & Judge to do so.

I then laid out the fact that the rifle wasn't a AK-47 assault rifle - but a Mac 90, Sporta rifle legal under the state & federal Constitution... even if they had taken the rifle legally (which they didn't) The most I could be charged with is a misdemeanor. (I was charged with a felony), the case was thrown out.

(Con. pg. 7) Superior Court (Now - called the upper
lower Court I think). . . I would move
for a immediate DISMISSAL.

The Judge then asked the DISTRICT ATTORNEY,
was she aware of what I had stated?
The District Attorney stated, she was not.

The Judge would give the District Atto-
rny 2-weeks to get verification.

2-weeks later I was brought back into
the Court room - The District Attorney offered
me a NON PREJUDICIAL DISMISSAL, I took
it without any thinking.

This is about March or April 1997.

NOTE:

It's important to know / realize this,
is about 6 to 7 months after (Fagging,
Hightower) murders. . . In not being
charged with their murders - In being
charged with FALSE GUN CASES.

I would learn "later" that the so-called
witnesses - 2-Police (Informants); the
brother of (Hightower), they all been
arrested on other SINCE - then pressured
Coached to provide a false stories. &
(3-Diametrically opposed stories) . . .

It's No Doubt that the so-called witnesses
are Lying.).

[I want to make known right here, I
am not being discursive, all my legal
issues are intertwined].

The 1st so-called witness - A known
(Informant) was caught with drugs about
a week after (Fagin & Hightower) murder.

Although the (Informant) got on the witness
stand & admitted that the police had Coached
him (Provided him with the story), it
was still NO DOUBT that the (Informant)
story was NOT RELIABLE & that he was
Lying (that's why the Detectives did not take
any action to anything the (Informant)
had stated).

When I stated 2 "knowing (Informant) exactly
what I mean". The (Informant) girl had
walked in on him as Police (CO Agents)
were placing bugs (listening devices)
on his body (the girl would tell him
that she agreed down with that).

The (Informant) girl would come back
to the Community Housing Complex
& tell dukes do NOT TRUST HER :-)

(Con/pg. 7) Superior Court (Now-Called the upper
lower Court I think). . . I would move
for a immediate DISMISSAL.

The Judge then asked the District Attorney,
was she aware of what I had stated?
The District Attorney stated, she was not.

The Judge would give the District Atto-
ney 2-weeks to get verification.

2-weeks later I was brought back into
the Courtroom - The District Attorney offered
me a Non Prejudicial DISMISSAL, I took
it without any thinking.

This is about March or April 1997.

NOTE:

It's important to know / realize this,
is about 6 to 7 months after (Fagin's
Hightower) murders. . . I'm not being
charged with their murders - I'm being
charged with FALSE GUN CASES.

I would learn later "that the so-called
witnesses - 2-Police (Informants); the
brother of (Hightower), they all been
arrested on other since then pressured
Coached to provide a false stories.
(3-Diametrically opposed stories)

(Con't pg. 8) The (informant) would come back to the community; deny that it ever happened. Dudes would take the (informant), into a apartment; make him get butt naked.

This incident happened about 5 months before (Hightower, Foggine) murders.

I would get word about what had happened. . . I was standing outside with another dude when the (informant) pulled up.

I would just start laughing as the (informant) walked up - I would tell the (informant) I have told you to leave them people (Police) alone. They are going to get you kill.

Before the above incident, at another location, A living room full of dudes, the (informant) came over - one dude would ask him, where have you been? What do you want? What are you up to? You better not have a bug on you! A dude stated, search him. The (informant) was sleep.

The (informant) would tell the detectives that I was real cool to snitch. That I will feel him working with the police.

The word fool if it is a acknowledgement of some types of - extra sensory power).

Also, the informant is indirectly admitting that I've been free, starting from 6 to 7 years prior that he was working with the police.

In the late 1960's/1970 when the (informant) became a Cooperator - I was telling him so... Eventually the informant had asked me, how did I know? I replied, because I feel it to get out of the bed with people / them people (Police).

The GQ article I mention on my website. It's 1 of 2 (informants) - it not necessary to mention names.

As I tell people, the (informants) did not tell on me - they lied on me (it's a different).
The (informants) coming forward, admitting that they lied; the Police was behind it. Can do more to help individuals, & change law than anything else.

Again, it's not the (informants) that's behind the FALSE CHARGES being pushed.

It was them, Bums Lawyer (as I keep telling people); the Bums Lawyer still providing no INSTRUCTIVE ASSISTANCE of Counsel.

(Con't pg. 8) The (informant) would come back to the community's denry that it ever happened. Dudes would take the (informant), into a apartment; make him get Buff naked.

This incident happened about 5 months before (Hightower, Fagin) murders.

I would get word about what had happened... I was standing outside with another dude when the (informant) pulled up.

I would just start laughing as the (informant) walked up - I would tell the (informant) I have told you to leave them people (Police) alone. They are going to get you first.

Before the above incident, at another location, A living room full of dudes, the (informant) came over - one dude would ask him, where have you been? What do you want? What are you up to? You better not have a bugg on you! A dude stated, search him. The (informant) was clean.

The (informant) would tell the detectives that I was real cool to snitch. That I will feel him working with the Police.

(Cap. pg. 9) also know, that the District Attorney had turned over one tape the police had on their 1st. Informant) I suspect they had more (It's NO DOUBT he's lying).

2nd, the 2nd so-called witness, the brother was arrested on (Spousal Abuse) in (Dec. 1996). Suddenly the brother became a alleged witness (straight nonsense).

BECAUSE the brother is lying, the Detective knows that he's lying, the brother stops (to) keep changing (beginning, middle, end) the Detectives take NO ACTION against NB... (The brother is being Taped - But the District Attorney turned over one tape - I suspect it was more).

The brother's (1st. Informant) stories is diametrically opposed, different to each other, the Crime Scene Evidence.

Now, be Conscious of the Facts that the Police, Judge, District Attorney & Public defenders - is still trying to push a FALSE GUN CASE ON ME.

3rdly, about (Jan. 1997) another (Informant) who Sister (Rochelle) provided (CPD) on (Hightower) - She would get info.

a affiliation with the (Informant) Girl friend.
The (Informant) in turn put all (Rochelle's)
ties on flat-something to that fact.

It's my belief, that the (Informant) as a
Sexual offender - who MUST Register as
Sexual offender - went to the sub station
to register, then was threatened with being
charged with 2nd 3 strikes, & Police being
Vidicated. . . UNLESS he becomes a Cooperative/
Informant).

It's obvious from the book, somebody is
trying to fudge/make believe that the
(Informant), Terry, (myself) had some kind
of Connection (because Rochelle was Terry
Girl). . . I want to make it clear, that I
had absolutely NO RELATIONSHIP with that
(Informant).

Also, I would get a opportunity to
talk to the (Informant) - I asked him why
was he lying on 43rd? The (Informant)
had said, I wasn't missing, with him.
. . . My reply, I would tell you if you
were hungry.

NOTE: I had also asked, the brother why
was he lying on (Brighton's) brother why
was he lying on 43rd?

Back to (Rochelle's) brother, its No Doubts. - (On right)

(Cop. pg. 9) also know, that the District Attorney had turned over one tape the police had on their 1st. Informant I suspect they had more (It's No Doubt he's lying).

2nd, the 2nd so-called witness, the brother was arrested on (Spousal Abuse) in (Dec. 1996). Suddenly the brother became a alleged witness (Straight Nonsense).

Because the brother is lying, the Detective knows that he's lying, the brother's story is keep changing (beginning, middle, end) The Detectives take NO ACTION against NB...
(The brother is being Taped - But the District Attorney turned over one tape - I suspect it was more).

The brother's (1st. Informant) stories is diametrically opposed; different to each other, the Crime Scene Evidence.

* Now, be conscious of the fact that the Police, Judges, District Attorneys & Public Defenders - is still trying to push a FAKE GUN CASE on me.

3rdly, about (Jan. 1997) another (Informant) who Sister (Rochelle) provided (CPD) on (Highway) - She would get into.

(Con. pg. 10) that he is Lying. Again from the beginning, middle & end, of his story, is Diametrically opposed & different with the other 30+ called witnesses & Evidences.

As before - with the other alleged witnesses) The Detectives take NO ACTION against me - BECAUSE the Detectives know everything the Informant telling them is FALSE/a Lie (The Detectives also taped this Informant.)

Also, know this - The (Informant) would admit that his "ENTIRE STORY" was made up/that he had lied, that he did so because Nobody Else was coming forward.

Things / The record been altered to say, EVEN I said wasn't true - But the Truth/Fact is you can find NOTHING he testified to as True.

[In the Same Breath, Stenographer, are absolutely, it's long over due to put/install Computers in all California Courts]

The Courts had for a test Pilot program, about having Computers in the Courts begins about 1990. (It's No Doubt do it makes a difference.) I've been a victim to have the transcript. (B)

Elated, I Attended [Emphasis Added].

Let's get back to the FALSE GUN CASE (Assault weapon) (2nd. I had dismissed)
The Judge would dismiss the FALSE ASSAULT WEAPON charge.

Once back at the Los Angeles Co. jail
I would be released into the corrupt
hands of Det. Aguirre - Then I would
be booked into Parker Center jail as a
Ex/Felon with 2 Gun because of a posse-
sion of Marijuana Case. knowing that the
Court, District Attorney, could only use the
Marijuana Case as a Misdemeanor for all
purposes, I had been sentenced to No Jail
TIME, "UNCONDITIONAL PROBATION". I
plan on having the charge expunged from my
records.

Once back at Compton Court I would
move immediately to represent myself
(1st. the Judge told me that I was un-
qualified to represent myself. . . As the
Courts have stated, qualification doesn't
determine if one should represent one
self. (Plus, we all knew it was total
nonsense).-

(Cont'd. II)

(Con. pg. 11) I would be given my right to represent myself.

I was in front of a Judge named Hayes (A African American Female) who would tell me - look we're willing to represent you, but you got to get a Lawyer to do it. That I had embarrassed the whole Court.

Also, the Judge would continue, The Court was willing to pay for any Lawyer I picked - which is something they do not do.

My response, I don't know any Lawyer. (The Court was aware that I knew at least 2 couples of great legal minds.)

e.g., The late Mr. Gordon ⁸⁰⁺ died at 102 years old - (A black American) I knew that he was still being asked to represent individuals at least into his 90s. (Because I had tried to get him to represent me at 88 years old).

Plus, I know at 70 years old he had gotten 2 dude ~~out~~ on 2-murder Counts. The dude Mr. Gordon got out - sent word to me, that I should've took him.

That not a fair assessment - I had ^{it} _{it}.

(Coy. pg. 12) It should of been a fine. -- But it doesn't change the facts that Mr. Rosen was a great lawyer.

I had the opportunity to drop by Mr. Rosen office at random - Every time I caught Mr. Rosen studying the law. When Mr. Rosen got ready to go to trial, I seen how he prepared for trials (A big box of legal books).

As one of my people stated, when I took him with me to see Mr. Rosen at Court. Mr. Rosen was sitting on a bench. My people stated, I know that man, I know that man - That Mr. Rosen. - Ce, is that who you're coming to meet? I replied, Yeah. . . my people then stated, that high power, that less high power - that the highest you can go.

I would call Mr. Rosen about this (shars) depth law case. (Mr. Rosen, agreed to take it). I had asked my estranged wife to go get the \$5000; bring it to Court the next day.

My estranged wife, who'd refused to move. I did not follow back up to Mr. Rosen. (The last on Mr. Rosen, he earned every dime he got).

(OVER)

The Judge (Hayes) would hold a fake preliminary hearing - Then send the case back up to the self representation judge (Dance also).

Once back in Judge (also) Court room I would immediately move, ^{again}, that the Judge stick to his word & dismiss the charge again.

The judge would drop my bail to \$30.000. I did not even attempt to get bail.

The District Attorney, offered me probation "I would refuse that to.

Again understand, this is $7\frac{1}{2}$ months after ^{11/15} (Foggins' slight of hand) murder. They're willing to release me if:

- i) if a Lawyer did it
- ii) on Bail \$30.000

III on Probation

This explicitly is a MEASUREMENT to the Guilty (Verdict) of the (2) IN GRIMES & the brother stories - Absolutely None.

I would refuse to waive any fine so I could see just how corrupt they were.

The District attorney who would show up to represent the District Attorney (S)

(Con. pg. 13)

(Con. pg. 13) (D.A) office name was Melinda
MURRAY (A African American Female) D.A.
MURRAY knew the gun case was false.

The Judge in this Courtroom also knew
the gun case was a (sham). The Judge
was also asking Can we settle the
case without going to trial?

My position was a dismissal of the
(sham) gun case or nothing.

We would start picking the jury. I
would (censor) - leave 2 (African American
male) Lawyer - alleged Lawyer on MY side
trial.

One's back at the County jail, then goes
back in the (Pro-Per-Self Representation)
module. A para legal name Mr. Brown,
would ask me about the jury I had
helped picked / ACCEPTED?

When I got to the (African American)
Lawyer - Mr. Brown would tell me to
get rid of him... That he probably
Jesus of me - when he was my
I tell Mr. Brown, that it was too
late - that I had already accepted
the jury.

10/18

M.M. Brown, asked me what was I going to do?... I replied, I'm going to try to isolate him, neutralize him.

(The entire Jury Trial took 3 days (from picking a jury to receiving a (Shawn) verdict.)

How did I do? Honestly, I did o.k (Not to brag).

How did (D.A) Milley do? She did poorly with the Malicious & willful use of false & perjured evidence.

I understand, any case that was deliberately built on ALL FALSE & PERJURED EVIDENCE IS BARRED FROM ANY FUTURE RE-LITIGATION ONCE DISMISSED PERIOD.

As been stated in the Federal Court Case Silivone - once the District Attorney knowing use of false & perjured evidence - it's hard for them to Settle Cases (My Case is literally the same type of Case (Enhance Admitted)).

(D.A) Milley, putting Det. Agnew on the witness stand was a complete disaster - Det. Agnew, under cross examination by M.A collapsed.

(cont'd. 14)

(Cont. pg. 14) Det. Agnew's admitted that he knew his prior Testimony about the "Mac 90 Sparta rifle" (legal at that time - was legal under the state & Federal Constitutions) as being a AK-47 was false? That Det. Agnew's did it intentionally? - That Det. Agnew's knew that he was Committing perjury? That he Committed perjury Intentionally? That he Committed, or knew perjury while a C.I.P.? Det. Agnew's admitted the C.I.P.s Intentionality.

Det. Agnew's, would, admit to all of the above Criminal Acts.

(A older Black female on MY jury put her hands to her face, turned her head to Det. Agnew's).

[After the fiasco by Det. Agnew's on the witness stand - it was closing arguments time. . . On my thought, I realize I should of asked for an immediate dismissal of the Charge - I asked for a prosecution of Det. Agnew's]

As for our Closing Arguments - to be nice about it - I was the more eloquent Speaker. (But D.A. Murray did not know what shs was up against). The (D-A) Murray got so frustrated that

she stated, Rodney king said he was abused.
Unbelievable, I just shook my head.

As I had told me Brown, I would try
to isolate & neutralize that African American
lawyer

1st. I would touch-on / attack Det. Sargeant's
Intentional FALSE & PERJURED TESTIMONY.

... then I would use a story about me in
my Community taking a position against
about 20 dudes - it was nothing they could
say to move me to join their position - Because
I knew to who I GATE & I was RIGHT.

Some backward thinking African American
will say, don't listen to him.

BUT in Compton Court-Holding Tank about 15
dudes attacking the entire Jewish race as
racist, Comm. Hsg. & Collect I stood; Rejected
that nonsense.

Once on, Death Row - I would stand against
different races - Attacking the Jewish race
'A Black Jewish dude' - would just watch
as I am NOT moving on my position. The
Black Jewish dude would pull me to the
side & tell me stop defending white Jewish
people. That all white people were racist
& bigots I went up to 50% of white are
racists. It's Continue, Stabill, All. - - - (con) 5)

(Con't pg. 15) I went up to 75% of white Jewish people were fascists; biots to -wards Black people. I "absolutely refused" to go any further.

IN MY respect for Mr. Baen & lots of other Jewish people - who would stand side-to-side with, i have stood side-to-side with black people do not let me take a racist view.

Understand, I am defending the Jewish people at Compton Court in the Court holding tank. Even as I am aware that a white Jewish racist Lawyer is intentionally providing me ineffective assistance of Counsel, mis-representation of Counsel, & false representation of Counsel. Still, I am able to be above a blatant indictment of the Jewish, white Jewish people. Even on the Death Row yard I'm still refusing a blatant indictment of the entire Jewish people).

Also know, when I was young I was taught & learned that there were "No Good People in all races.

Then look at (Terry); my Case you had atleast 8 different (African Americans) Lawyers (in one form or another) - pushing the (Sham) Gun charges forward.

against us.

Then look at the Case that placed me on
(S.P) Death Row - ; (Terry) with 79 years
to life - The "Bum" LAWYER that intentionally,
dumped - Convicted (Terry) on the (Faggins),
Hightower Case - was a dark skin African,
American) LAWYER. . About 200 I would be
informed, that the Bum LAWYER (Christopher
Taylor) had been Disbarred from Practicing
Law in NEW YORK. (He brought his Collec-
tion of Malfeasance right with him to LOS-
ANGELES).

FREE INFORMATION

(Terry) Family, would offer (Terry) a High Power
LAWYER or LAW FIRM.

Since that Garbage Lawyer (Taylor) learnt
that (Terry) family, could EASILY AFFORD
A HIGH POWER LAWYER

That Bum Lawyer started repeatedly Telling
(Terry) that he don't need NO LAWYER - That
(Terry) was going home! - That Bum Lawyer
was going to get (Terry) home!

I had pointed out to (Terry) since that Bum
Lawyer (Taylor) knows that (Terry) family can
afford "GOOD LAWYERS", Suddenly that BUM
Lawyer (Taylor) started (Terry), telling . , (CON. PG 15)

(Con. pg. 16) (Terry) that he did not need a LAWYER, that he was going to get (Terry) home! That (Terry) was going to go home.

Once in the holding tank I would continue to stress to (Terry), you have the SAME CHANCE OF GOING HOME WITH THAT LAWYER THAT A DEAD MAN HAD OF COMING BACK TO LIFE - ABSOLUTELY ZERO!

The only reason (Terry) is in jail, is because (he) would not listen to me. . . EVEN, as (Terry) seen, I knew dudes who took my advise - Thank Me!! (Because they had went home, or were going home.).

The second reason (But, just as important), that Kim Lawyer (Taylor) Inteligently, dump you - providing you with deliberate INEFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL. . . That Kim Lawyer (Taylor) started telling you (Terry) you're going home (just to cover himself) ERASE your family went through with attaining or retaining some high power Lawyer, Lawyer. (A Lawyer cannot misrepresent the condition of a case).

(Terry) been sitting in prison for 24 years on
so-on ALL FALSE & PERJURED TESTIMONY/
EVIDENCE

EVEN (Terry) appointed Appeal Lawyer placed
FALSE & HARMFUL INFORMATION in (Terry).
Appeal - when the Appeal Lawyer put a poison
pill in (Terry) Appeal.

That appeal lawyer refused to place any of
the ISSUES or CHARGES I am attacking the
Court proceedings of (knowingly use of FALSE
& PERJURED Testimony(s)).

Even though records have been altered &
erased. Through JUDGMENT - still, it's enough
in the records (verbally & writtenly) to show
a willful, malicious use of Perjured FALSE
UNLAWFUL TESTIMONIES.

Instead the Appeal Lawyer made a attack
against me - instead of the Appeal Lawyer
using my attack as a sword to help upset
our (Terry & myself) FALSE, UNCONSTITUTIONAL
Convictions.

The Appeal Lawyer also placed in (Terry),
Appeal - That there were 50 people outside
of the park (again, insinuating; attempting
to place (some type of legitimizing) on the
Court proceedings when there's NONE. (CONT'D)

(Cont'd. 17) The Appeal Lawyer is alleging that there is EYES WITNESS to the shooting (But it's just not the so-called witness the District Attorney have presented.) The Appeal Lawyer is trying to play both sides of the Coin - Shooting Down any Reliability of the so-called witness the District Attorney used... But, saying that there is eye witness(es) to the shooting, saying Terry himself was behind the shooting (Total Nonsense).

A Lawyer Cannot solicit any harmful information against somebody they are suppose to be representing.

Then you have the California Supreme Court who obviously read (Terry) Appeal briefs & placed poison information FALSE INFORMATION into their Decisions that there were 50 people outside at the party when the shooting (saying Terry himself) perpetrated the shootings (hence).
10

The Law is clear, Judges Cannot benefit from the Judges own interpretation of the Law, or using false information - Technically, the judges suppose to be an impartial arbiter-referee.

In my eyes there's nobody (Judge) that
is standing up for the CONSTITUTIONS --
But I.R.

I understand, I was done extremely wrong
- BAD - But I wasn't under a delusional
belief that these BUNI Lawyers was seriously
attempting to help me or following the CON-
STITUTIONS (OR) The Rule of Law!

But (Terry) Waite - "guillible (as 10 of thousands)
of other prisoners believe that these BUNI
Lawyers are trying to help them - they are
really done worst - much worst." Because
they are believing that the Lawyer (85% - 90%)
is fully trying to help them - when actually
they are not.

I put the Court, District Attorneys, - public
defenders/appointed lawyers on notice that
I know that they have been in Collusion,
Complicity ; Misfeasance - including Financial
Fraud - To keep in place Streets-to-Prisons
Pipeline going.

It's my belief in 1998 that a storage
room where the District Attorneys keep
their "records at gets mysteriously destroyed."

again, also my legal rights are intuined. . .

(Con. pg. 18) back to the gun case; closing argument.

The District Attorney gets 2 bites of the apple (Closing Arguments) so should the prisoners - Because the prisoners is fighting for their freedom. (Also, it is a Racist Not Motivated Law).

After the Judge sent the jury into the Jury Room - The Judge bent over the bench, & stated to me:

You nice, You, calling, Card, !
You Should of been a Lawyer!

The Deputy assigned to the Court room - As we're all walking back to the holding tanks stated:

How did you learn to talk like that?!

I responded, GONE MAN.

The Deputy Continued, I'm Serious!

Every time you open your mouth to speak & forget you are a - prisoner!

I just thought you were trying to get a better deal, but you are serious?

I responded; You're darn right I'm serious!

The Deputy ended with, "you talk better than most lawyers who come into this Court house, I see them all!"

Then there is the Deputy from my (Shan) 1995 trial - who told me that I was all they were talking about at (Court) Court. They don't know if you are responsible for their crimes or not... But, you need to knock off any BS** Sh*t*, become a lawyer.

Another Deputy stated, after I got off the witness stand (against a tampered with Jury). Today I'm happen I am not a Lawyer - You make them look like idiots, You make their entire education look bad! (This is where I received a hung jury).

Then there was a young black dude about 18 yrs old in the holding tank who would state, If he talked like me. ~ (C.R.P.)

(Con. pg. 18) back to the gun case; closing arguments.

The District Attorney gets 2 bites of the apple (Closing Arguments). So Should the prisoners - Because the prisoners is fighting for their freedom. (Also, it is a Racist Motivated Law).

After the Judge sent the jury into the Jury Room - The Judge bent over the bench, & stated to me:


You miss, you're calling Card, !
You Should of been a Lawyer!

The Deputy assigned to the Court Room - As we're walking back to the holding tanks stated:

How, did you learn to talk like that?!

I responded, Come man.

The Deputy Continued, I'm Serious!

Every time you open your mouth to speak, & forget you are a -
prisoner! 

I just thought you were trying to get a better deal, but you are serious?

I responded; You're darn right I'm serious.

The Deputy ended with, "you talk better than most lawyers" who come into this Court houses & I see them all!

Then there is the Deputy from my (Shaw) 1995 trial - who told me that I was all they were talking about at (Conpton) Court. They don't know if you are responsible for their crimes or not... But, you need to knock off any B**sh*t*, become a lawyer.

Another Deputy stated, after I got off the witness stand (Against a Tampered with Jury). Today I'd happen I am not a Lawyer - You make them look like idiots, You make their entire education look bad! (This is where I received a hung jury).

Then there was a young black dude @-
bout 18 yrs old in the holding tank who
would state, If he talked like me. - (CJL)

(Con't'd. p. 19) - I knew the law like I do
he would be a Lawyer - A Good Lawyer.
- F**k the Streets - You're stupid, I
of course, I had my line of thinking.
a reason for not being a lawyer
'I will explain at another time.'

So, back to the jury deliberation,
(The deliberation lasted, 10 to 11 hours)
I was conscious to the facts that
the Black American female was
trying to hold off - Against that
(Bum) African American Lawyer.

I would be told a verdict had
been reached. As soon as I
seen the Black American female,
it was obvious she was selfishly
desirous' broken - She had her
head down; & could not even look
at me.

As far as that (Bum) African
American Lawyer - he was
extremely visually enraged,
he was literally shaken, I had
tears in his eyes - as he was
looking at me.

My thinking was that (Bum) (Bum)

African American Lawyer had (Blow, Beaten) that Black American made jury, I she had given in to his attack(s) about he was a Lawyer (Clerk Told Me Conduct) → He was also engaged because he knew that if he had my abilities that he would be a "great Lawyer."

ME seeing that African American (Byn) Lawyer was in fact(s) affected, I knowed by my abilities → I let shook my head & started laughing.

The Judge would tell me that I could get another trial. I would tell the Judge it is up to participate in another sham trial/proceedings...

I would move to have the guilty verdict thrown out based on 9th Amendment Constitutional Rights Violation
knowingly using False/forgeries evidences.

The judge would deny my verbal motion. The judge would sentence me to 2-year prison term. This is shortly (May 1997) → I would be dumped into (Delano) Prison about (June 1997).

(Con. pg. 19) - I knew the law like I do
he would be, a Lawyer - A Good Lawyer
- Fix ~~the~~ the Streets - You're stupid.
Of course, I had my line of thinking.
I resented for not being a lawyer
I will explain at another time.

So, back to the jury deliberation
(The deliberation lasted 10⁴ to 11 hours)
I was conscious to the facts that
the Black African female was
trying to hold out - against that
(Bum) African American Lawyer.

I would be told a verdict had
been reached. As soon as I
seen the Black American Female,
it was obvious she was seriously
distressed, broken - she had her
head down, could not even look
at me.

As far as that (Bum) African
American Lawyer - he was
extremely visually enraged, he
was literally shaken, had
tears in his eyes - as he was
looking at me.

My thinking was that (Bum) 10¹⁸)

African American Lawyer had (Blow, Bostrom) that Black American Broke juror, I she had given in to his attack(s) about he was a Lawyer (Clear Types of Misconduct) → He was also en/ployed because, he know that if he had, my abilities that he would be a great Lawyer.

ME seeing that African American, (Byns) Lawyer was in fails of attack(s) noted by my律師 → I just shook my head & started laughing.

The Judge would tell me that I could get another trial. I would tell the Judge it is up to participate in another sham trial/proceedings..

I would note to have the guilty verdict thrown out based on 99% notion & Constitutional Rights Violation knowing using False, forged evidences.

The judges would deny my verbal motion. The judges would sentence me to 2-year prison term (this is short term 1997). I would be dumped into term (long) Prison about (June 1997).

(Con't pg. 20) I had sent to the Court my NOTICE OF APPEAL (about August 1997)... laying out 10-solid Constitutional Rights Violations.

NOTE:

I had mis-numbered my NOTICE OF APPEAL (1 of 2 pages) when actually it was (1 of 3 pages) I sent to the Court. I had failed to collect the number on the NOTICE OF APPEAL. (The 3rd page was a official request # to appoint a appeal Lawyer).

[I had sent in my "NOTICE OF APPEAL" by "Proof of Service". which showed on the envelope: it was - 3 - pages.]

Within weeks or so after sending in my NOTICE OF APPEAL. the D.A hit me with a detailer - warrant requesting MY PRESENT at the COMPTON COURT HOUSE [INADMISSIBLE] INJUDICATIVE PROSECUTION!

Once back at the L.A. Co. Jail (from Delano Prison) I was told that I was being charged with 2 Counts of MURDER (18T). O

About September 27-1997 I was brought to Court - 42 Sess 23 A public defender approached me - I immediately asked him what were the charges?

The public defender stated, 2-Counts of murder.

I then told the public defender, don't say nothing else. I'm exercising my 6th Amendment Rights - Right to represent myself under Fesatta v. Calif.

Tell the Court my position, "tell the D.A (District Attorney) to have the murder books, "information ready. I'm qualified to analyze the circuit courts. make a sound determination to the merit of the charges."

At that point the public defender left the holding tank.

I understand, by me being objective, impartial - to had no preconceive idea formed about the widespread, flagrantly blatant, institutional, systematic, malpractice, indifference (official criminal wrong doing). I was being continually

(Con'tg. 21) to be subjected to].

[A] hour or two after that public - defender left the holding tank - was a handful of prisoners was called , brought into the courtroom to be arraigned.

After a number of prisoners was assigned - my name was called. I immediately spoke-up to exercise my CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT - RIGHT to REPRESENT MYSELF.

At the same time, that public defender spoke-up, as they did not understand my CONSTITUTION RIGHT to BE - PRESENT MYSELF.

The Judge would start shouting, you shut up! Shut up!

once again, records have been altered, erased - That why stampedes is out-dated - Computer need to be installed [Emphasis added].

I would continue to "assist" that my Federal Constitutional Rights (OVER)

to represent myself be respected.

[The Judge final refection was to follow
me out of the Courtroom... At the
Santitive grant me my right to
represent myself].

2 weeks after the above incident, I
was brought back to Cuyahoga Court,
along with the 2nd defendant on this
case (Terry Bettis) sham case!

Once in the holding tank (2) Bins, P218
 Lawyer name Steven J. Hauser - would
call my name... I intuned inquired
who was he?

The b/s Lawyer Hauser replied, I'M
representing you... My response, was,
you fucking idiot - you fucking re-
fard - your not representing me - I'M
representing myself - Now get out of here!

Soon after that b/s Lawyer left that
holding tank - A deputy called me &
stated, the Judge (Haynes) wanted to
see me.

once in the Courtroom - The judge ask-
ed MR. HARRIS I spoken to that - i (on) .

(Con: pg. 22) (Bunn) Lawyer? I AM responsible,
do I have not spoken to that (Bunn) Law-
yer. IT'S NOTHING TO TALK ABOUT - I'M
REPRESENTING MYSELF.

The Judge still would insist that I
talk to that (Bunn) Lawyer.

Once back in the holding tank that
(Bunn) Lawyer tried to talk to me once
again. I immediately told him
Look you fucking piece of shit, I'M
REPRESENTING MYSELF - MY POSITION
IS NON NEGOTIABLE!

At that point, that (Bunn) Lawyer knew
it was "NOTHING", he could say to Con-
vince me or trick me into accepting
him.

On reflection, I sincerely believed at
this point - That (Bunn) Lawyer became
mentally unbalanced. (meaning, he knew
that it did not matter what he said
to me - I would not accept his re-
presentation in MY CAPACITY.
At this point that point, that (Bunn)
Lawyer left the holding tank.

Once again, the Judge (Haynes) had
the Deputy to bring me back into

~~the Courtroom.~~

I would asked Judge (Hayes) what did she not understand about me representing myself... my position is ~~NONNEGOTIABLE~~.

Judge (Hayes) would still insist that I talk to that (B.M.) lawyer however. The Judge also informed me that she had walked on a case together with that (B.M.) Lawyer (not thinking who who). This is a straight conflict of interest.

Note:

Records have been stored; referred
stapler here is long out-dated.
Courtrooms need to be Computerized.

Again, I refused to speak to that (B.M.) Lawyer (This went on all morning.).

That afternoon, that (B.M.) lawyer approached me once more saying, what if he became a death penalty case, do you still want to represent you?

My response, I don't care, what this case becomes - I'm representing myself now get away from me (my thinking was something is seriously wrong with him).

(Con'tg. 23) right after that last encounter with that (Bun's) lawyer - I was brought back into the Court room.

[I immediately noted a CORRUPT D.A. (District Attorney) name Gilbert Wright who had (Falsely) prosecuted me on, 2-Courts, of Murder in (1995); he was standing on the D.A Side of the table.]

The 1st. Murder the Jurors found me NOT Guilty. . . The 2nd Murder the Jurors found me NOT Guilty (10-2).

The Judge dismissed the 2nd, murder.
Telling that (Bun's) D.A. Wright it
was "No Doubt's" that the 2-People
(Living witness) was lying on me.

The Criminal Law Specialist name /
Atty. Valerie Monroe, who represented
me in (1995) did a excellent Job.

- Atty. Monroe, told me that she had spoken to some of the jurors, - the jurors told her, that they NEVER believed anything those (lying) witness said from the start (that how it flags & expose their lying is II-0)

once again, I would tell the Judge I had not spoken with that (Bun) Lawyer Hauser.)

The Judge would tell me that I had to be arraigned at that time. I knew that that Judge was flat out lying).

I informed that Judge, Technically I do not know what the charges are, I had not received the Murder Book, Information for Complaint. I had options to move for a demurr or a dismissed etc.

That (Bun) Lawyer Hauser would speak up telling the Judge that that (Bun) D.A. Wright had given him the DOCUMENT that I had asked for. (D.A Wright was literally hand picking the Lawyer, that he wanted on my case). Clearly violating my CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS!

The Judge would note to arraigned me against my CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS).

The Judge would (falsely claim) I was not following Courtroom protocol - if officially than appoint that (Bun) Lawyer Hauser onto my case.

(Con't pg. 24) the Judge would then hold that,
(show) assignment - at the end of that
(show) assignment I would agree to
request that the Murder Book & In-
formation be turned over to me.

The Judge ordered I be given a copy.
Several days later a copy was given
to me.

Once I started reading the MURDER
Books (Chronological Police Investigation
Report). The more I read the more
shocked I became.

This present Case (Death Row) is much
worse than the (1995) case.

IT WAS/IS "NO DOUBT'S" THAT THE SO-
Called WITNESSES /INFORMANTS were/
is Lying (3-diametrically opposite)
Started from the beginning, middle
& End) the Court, their (Bum) LAWYERS
were knowingly/intentionally using
all FALSE "PERFURED TESTIMONIES".

Based on my mental knowledge, analysis,
Graz, Comprehension - Not only was
I ACTUALLY INNOCENT - I was also
FACTUAL INNOCENT to.

(OVER)

UNDERSTAND:

I'm NOT BEING DESCRIPTIVE.
ALL MY LEGAL ISSUES IS TURNED.

AS I'M reading the MURDER Books,
Chronological investigation report) the
most shocked I become.

The 1st so-called witness ("informant")
Police (THOMAS) was arrested on a
dog Charge (one week after the mur-
ders of Hightower v. Faggs, Oct. 1996).
This informant testified that the police
had provided him with a story...
So the informant story doesn't make
sense.

Then there is the brother of Hightower
who was arrested on a "Spouse Abuse
Charge" (Dec. 1996) 3-months after his
brother Hightower's Faggs' murder.
The brother story don't make sense either

[Both story is dramatically opposed,
to each other - from beginning / Middle,
/ end].

Then you have the 3rd so-called "on pg."

(Con. pg. 25) witness (another informant) who is also a "Register sex offender."

It's my understanding that the sex offender went to register as a "Sex offender" (Jan 1997) but ended up providing a story to the police - A story the police knew was false.

The "sex offender/informant" would testify that his ENTIRE STORY WAS A LIE. That he came forward because NOBODY ELSE WOULD TESTIFY AGAINST US.

Once more, the Sex offender/informant is a COMPLETE LIE - HIS STORY IS diametrically different than the other 2-so-called witnesses.

Just as the other 2-so-called witnesses' stories is COMPLETELY DIFFERENT than each other. (From Beginning, Middle, & End.)

It's no doubt that all 3 so-called witnesses/informants stories is STRAIGHT OUT FALSE - A LIE - that how are yous that thom (R.S.M.) taught D.A. Court know that they were engaged in naked racism sexist agreement to engage in Criminal Conduit).

I would calculate from the Murder Books) that them(BLM) Lawyers, D.A.'s Court was going to try to speed the Preliminary Hearing through(A sham; Racist 115 Hearsay Rule). Meaning, that them(BLM) Lawyers, D.A.'s Court could bound you over to Superior Court for trial (without) producing any witnesses, reliable witnesses at that.

Once again, I would calculate, counter their(BLM lawyers, D.A.'s Court moves with a Affirmative defense). Meaning, producing having(REFutable) witnesses to the Court to testify against the "affirm known False Lie" of the so-called witnesses at the Preliminary hearing.

As I Continue to feed the Murder Books the More RED FLAGS popped up:

1) The 1st, informant statement is provided / taken about (a week) after the slaying (Oct 1996). The Investigators on the Murder case took no action because they knew the informant was lying.

Con't p. 26

(Cont'd pg 26) -- 2) The brother was arrested for Spousal Abuse about 2 1/2 months after the murders (Dec. 1995). - The Detectives on the Case Took No Action, (Again) because they knew (the brother) was lying.

3) The sex offender / informant went to Register as a Sex offender - 3 1/2 months after the murders. (Again) the Detectives on the Case (Again) took No Action, because they knew the informant was lying.

Note:

The 3 sham-so-called witnesses / Informants the police or D.A. would turn over at least one of their taped stories they had provided.

But as for, the witness the police / Detectives know for sure, was at the crime scene, or came to the crime scene after the shooting - one of the individuals (A Nursing Student) provided CPR on one of the victims. - The police, D.A. would,

claim that they did not tape the witness(es) statements (Violating LOS ANGELES POLICE DEPARTMENT (L.A.P.D.) POLICIES.)

The reason the police PIA claimed it did not tape the witness(es) statements, because just as I told the D.O.-factives-word, was another Gang wise behind the shootings so did they.

Also, I repeatedly told the jury I was not a Gang member - Again, records have been deleted or altered.

[including one witness⁽³⁾ statement that the brother was not at their during the shooting]

Plus, there was "NO PARTY" at her apartment the night of the shooting.]

UNDERSTAND:

The police had the 1st so-called witness/informant a week after the murder (Oct 1996).

The police had the 2nd so-called witness/brother 2 1/2 months after the murder (Dec. 1996).

Last, the police had their 3rd - last so-called witness - sex offender/informer 3 1/2 months after the murder. (Sep. 27)

(Con't pg 27) (Jan 1997).

By (Jan 1997) I've been intentionally
unjustly in jail for a month...
Instead of pursuing & charging me
for murders - I'm being deliberately
charged for a (sham) AK-47 ASSAULT RIFLE.

The corrupt detective B. Agnew would
on purpose malicously in (Jan 1997).
(False) "Testify" that the Mac 90 sports
rifles they had illegal seized, but was
LEGAL under the STATE & FEDERAL CONSTI-
TUTION. . . was a ILLEGAL AK-47 ASSAULT
RIFLE.

By (March 1997) 6-months after the
murders occurred - 5 months - 3 months
; 2 month after the (sham) so-called
witnesses statements.) The homicide
detectives is not using them (sham)
witnesses - this speaks volume.

Again by (March) 1997 after six to seven
(years) corrupt public defenders gladly
; slowly - moving along with
my UNLAWFUL DETENTION ; PROSECUTION.
I am tired ; fed up with their non-sense
so I moved to represent myself.

As I noted earlier - Soon after I took responsibility for my (sham) case - I would have the (sham) AK-47 assault rifle charge dismissed.

They would manipulate the charging process - ; charge me with another (sham) gun charge.

As I noted earlier - The Judge would offer to dismiss the charge soon, but only if I let a Lawyer do it (because I had embarrassed the Court). Of course I refused.

Realize, from March - June the Courts, D.A., and Confineets to offer to release me in one form or another - from probation to reducing my bail to \$30,000 - \$1,500. I waived no time; I went to trial on that (sham) gun charge.

Now, back to the Milder Books, as I am reading them - I'm fully conscious that the reason the (sham) gun charges, or they were willing to release me, because they knew them (sham) so-called witnesses were lying.

(Cont pg. 18) If the homicide detective's name
Vera on this (Sham Case) I would ask him
doing that (1st sham trial 1998 - was
he behind the sham) murder, charges being
filed? - Detective Vera shook his head,
No! & Verbally stated, No!].

Once back at Cuyahoga County Court (RJM)
Court Lawyer Lawyer would approach
me - I would ask him had he read
the Murder Books?

I stated, it's no doubt that them
(sham) so-called witness(es) is lying.

I would continue, 'Say, the U.S. Con-
stitution forbids the D.A. from know-
ing using false Evidence.'

That the 14th Amendment of the
due process ^{does not} stop the D.A. from
knowingly & intentionally using
false / perjured evidence (state-
ments).

That (RJM) Court Lawyer Lawyer
would counter with:

1) The U.S. Constitution
is Pergola - Nobody in the courtroom
practices that stuff anymore! - ¹⁷¹ _{10/68}

2) That the D.A. can use false & perjured evidence - that nothing was illegal about that! - That the D.A. does it all the time.

3) That (Bun) Corrupt Lawyer has also stated - That Autopsy was nonsense (I would put all this nonsense that (Bun) was telling on record in one form or another (once again stenographer is obsolete - they need to be replaced with computers)). Emphasis added.

All the things that (Bun) Corrupt Lawyer has said was telling, was a form of malpractice - untruthful.

As a older Mexican, name Lou (From Norwalk - explained to me - he was given pointers to 3 few prisoners - in the Pro Red Mafioso - Lou was overcharged to give pointers, because Lou had "had" T-appeal, got himself out of prison. A Black dude from Watts - Fudge Town knows Lou full name.)

The reason Lou explained why he did not give me pointers - Because I did not need any help. The way I was attacking the case had to be TRUE. Because it didn't make sense. (Q)

(Con.: pg. 29) You continued, the thing about your case is, they must stop your attack - they must make you doubt yourself. Because if they can't stop you - they going to either remove, or stop you from testifying, or they going to dismiss the charges. Otherwise, they can go to jail - they're not going to jail for you. Just as you had predicted, because I was not stopping my attack - that they were knowingly intentionally lying false - perjured evidence. They would concoct a sham reason & take my Pro per status.

But, at this point the preliminary hearing (Oct 1999). 1st. the (BUNP), Criminal Lawyer, D.A., Court - would switch courtroom & tell my witness(es) (Att/Witness Defense) that I was not at court that day. 2nd. once in the courtroom I would move immediately to get my Pro per status back - The Judge stated that the only way I could get my Pro per status back I had to announce ready to go, or hold the preliminary (over)

hearing - Plus, I had to keep that (Bun) Corrupt Lawyer house on NY Case (I appealed, just to get my Pic for stories back.).

But, soon after that (short Preliminary hearing) of very bad adversary, testing of the (false) evidences - it was explicit that then (Bun) Corrupt Lawyer's house, Piffay was colluding in the Conspiracy to subvert & undermine NY Moral Case.

At the end of that (short) proceeding I would ask the Judge to REMOVE that (Bun) Corrupt Lawyer house, I gave my assessment of his conduct at that (short) Preliminary hearing. Plus, I take full responsibility for NY Case.

The Judge stated, take it up with the next Court - I would be bound over to Superior Court.

Once in Superior Court I had informed the Judge (Name: up) that the only lesson I wanted that (Bun) Corrupt Lawyer house to stick around, because I wanted to have questioned about the way he was trying to -

(Conv. pg. 30) to move my (Shan) Case along.
Also, that (Burr) must keep his mouth
shut.

So, after Coming to Judge (woo) Court -
I soon accepted of times - I would say
this it was best to get rid of
that (Burr).

I would also submit a motion to
support my position verbally
without saying that that (Burr)
Corrupt Lawyer however was trying
to distract, undermines my case
etc.

The Judge (woo) would tell that (Burr)
that he was setting at my pleasure
, it was clear that we were not getting
along - So, it amounted to most -

Also, if the Case becomes a death /
penalty Case - I would be offered
new Lawyer -

That (Burr) Corrupt Lawyer however -
would claim that how he came on
my Case .

Judge (woo) stated, that not his
understanding how he got on
my Case . Judge (woo) pleaded
that he provide proof .

Note:

I had asked that (Bum) Corrupt Lawyer hauser - how much did he charge a hour? - That (Bum) replied \$100\$ a hour; I told myself that he, was a (Bum) - It was a understatement.

The proof, that (Bum) Corrupt Lawyer hauser had submitted to the Court was a simple white piece of paper typed - saying Oct. 1997 A Category 5 death penalty Case, \$125.000 "STRAIGHT OUT FINANCIAL FRAUD".
I would get 2 copy of that white piece of paper 6 to 7 years later (on death row).

As I placed in one of my Complaints
I lead into the facts - My Case is a
Clear case of MISAPPROPRIATION OF
TAXS payers Funds.

when one break down I calculate
how much that (Bum) Corrupt Lawyer
hauser - Mads at least - 2 Thousand
(\$1000) dollars a hour or a little
more.

Even if that (Bum) Lawyer made 2100.00
a hour - It would of come up to NO
more than about \$30.000 If that.

(Con. pg. 31) realize, my case was not a death penalty case at that time - That (Bun) Corrupt Lawyer himself submitted a plain piece of white paper typed Oct. 1997.

When my case did become a death penalty case - late Jan 1998, or Feb. 1998 I was representing myself.

When the death penalty Committee wrote me & informed me of their decision - to seek the death penalty ... I wrote the death penalty Committee back - asking the one question -

Give me one factor why are you seeking the death penalty?

The response I received, came from that (Bun) Corrupt D.A. Wright ... telling the Court that he had just got off the phone with - downtown (headquarters) wanting to know what was going on.

That (Bun) Corrupt D.A. Wright would then note for the - - - 10

recorders that he was serving me notes
why he was seeking the death penalty.

I would object for the records; once
again on the same ground as my
last case - That he were knowingly
intentionally using false & perjured
evidence.

It's important to note right here...
Once (a) case becomes a death penalty,
The judge, P.A., Lawyer's records
was the Stenographer - or designated,
Stenographer supposed to immediately
get together "go over the records
to make sure the records are
accurate, complete, there after
ever proceeding afterwards."

But, they did not follow protocol with
me... The Judge - ~~Attorney~~, Prosecutor,
prosecutorial misconduct - along with
that (B.M.) corrupt Lawyer, having illegal
conduct - Attacking, falsifying records
The records about my verbal material
attacks against them (unlawful) pro-
ceedings (1990, stenographer is 1991)
obsolete [long outdated] [emphasis added].

(Con't pg. 32) At this point, in those (sham) Court proceedings - in the Court room of a Judge name John Chero~~KE~~.

I would asked Judge Chero~~KE~~ what was that (Bum) Corrupt Lawyer ha~~ve~~ser doing in the Court room?

That he had been removed from my case in every capacity.

Judge Chero~~KE~~ responded, that that (Bum) lawyer was just in the Court room; agreed that he was not on my case in any capacity.

NOTE:

Up to this point, Judge Chero~~KE~~ is talking to me CIVILLY.

After that Court proceeding, that (Bum) Corrupt Lawyer ha~~ve~~ser would have his Captain (Collaborator) D.A. G. Wright to op 388 the presiding Judge with him. (A EX parte - hearing = illegal).

Technically, the D.A. Wright was hand-picking the Lawyer he wanted on MY case. -- It remind me of John Gotti Case - where the (ONB)

D.A. moved to have John Gotti's
lawyer removed from representing
John Gotti.

The presiding Judge would say she
will talk to Judge Cheroakee in a
vacuum (in the dark).

The next time at Court Judge Cheroakee
would "ambush" me - Announce that he
was appointing that (Bum) Corrupt -
Lawyer halber as standby Counsel /
Lawyer.

I would object - Inform Judge
Cheroakee if he was going to appoint
a Lawyer - to appoint somebody
other than that (Bum) halber.

Judge Cheroakee was now throwing
his judicial weight behind the
Conspiracy.

Judge Cheroakee would state I
had went somewhere & concocted
the Conject.

understand when I had been in Judge
Cheroakee's Courtroom - my understanding
was that that (Bum) Corrupt Lawyer
halber was off my case in every capacity. —

25.9.22
D.J.D.

(Con: pg. 33) It's clear that I did not go anywhere I expected (a) Conflict. BUT just as clear that Judge Cheloske contacted him, attitude about me being disrespectful towards the Court as I am representing my son in Total Nonsense (I pride myself on my abilities to represent myself). Not did I go any place & collect a Conflict.

As a matter of fact, no seeing how the Court had lost it abilities to be neutral - I would speak - Cally requested the appointment of a female public defender name Cynthia (I think) that her name.

Judge Cheloske, after the talk in the room (park) with the presiding Judge, went from addressing me with respect - to showering me with hostility & aggression. pretending to me being disruptive to the Court.

The Conspiring Judge Cheloske would pretend that I had egociously or repeatedly (one,

disrespected the Court — just because I had questioned his knowledge of the law — A Judge Cannot benefit from their mistaken knowledge of, or lack of knowledge concerning the law.

At this point Judge Chelosko would appoint that (Bum) Corrupt Lawyer hauser to my case. . . Judge Chelosko would then say, since it's only US Lawyers now! (This statement shows that Judge Chelosko had planned on taking my Pro Per (self representation) — status.)

As You told me, that if they cannot stop my attacks against these (sham) charges — They had 2 choices;
either take your Pro Per status. (or)

dismissed the (sham) charges. 2) [REDACTED]

That Corrupt (Bum) Lawyer hauser basically stated the same thing to me. —

that (Bum) Corrupt Lawyer (con-

(Con: pg. 34) hauser was ordered by Judge (was) to give me the Autopsy REPORT.

when that (Bum) Corrupt Lawyer hauser, brought me the AUTOPSY report. - He would ask me, why I did not want him?

My response was, you're a fucking retard boy.
I'm going to get the case dismissed.

That (Bum) hauser would agree - [Ding, I might, get the case dismissed] Emphasis Added].

Back to Judge Cheroke (A racist) at the point of hips snatching my Pro per status & forcing that (Bum) Corrupt Lawyer hauser on my Case/me.

Judge Cheroke, would give that (Bugs) Corrupt Lawyer one of a number of MOTION (documents) I had filed - A CAPITAL SEVERENCE MOTION to SEPARATE me & the 2nd defendant cases.

The U.S. SUPREME COURT directed when one defendant is facing

the "death penalty", the other is not
(it's MANDATORY that the Cases be
separated).

That (Bum) Corrupt Lawyer, however
, myself, my case would be transferred
to a Judge name Morgan (A RACIST
to) for the 1st Sham trial.

(I had already met Judge Morgan
about Nov. 1997) where I upheld state
the records doesn't show the best
against me (But 3 Impeachable Commu-
nication Major) Can tell you no
aggressive, hostile words being directed
at against me.

Judge Morgan, turned his Court room
into a (Circles) sham as I told him.
Judge Morgan, also directed his
photographer do not take down any
thing I said - without his permission.

I had ensure that (Bum) Corrupt Lawyer,
that as long as I am, in the Court-
rooms they would not convict me.

I'm objecting / protesting them (Bum)
Corrupt Lawyer's Malpractice

Malpractice
Corrupt Lawyer

(Con. pg. 35) Representation.
I would be constantly asking for my
CAPITAL SEVERANCE MOTION . . . I
would inject about the U.S. SUPREME
Court ruling - about my type of case
being separated.

That (BAM) Corrupt Lawyer would stand
up & counter me with the Calif.
SUPREME Court (supposed) ~~state~~-case
like Mine did not need to be separated.
--- I stated, all man - what are you
saying, that the Calif. Supreme Court
rulings trumps the U.S. SUPREME Court
rulings? ; That (BAM) Lawyer has
stated, Yes!

I then responded, this man is a arbitrator
- The U.S. SUPREME Court rulings
is the "Law of the Land" - its rulings
supercedes all rulings .

Judge Morgan would say, Now
Now Now, lets not go there . . . MY
response was, we already have . . .

Once again, Stenographer, them
(BAM) Corrupt Lawyers (officers of
the Court) was about closing off

Records - Stenography is long obsolete.
Digital Technology is long over due.

Note two things as I move to close
this letter:

1) I would take the witness
stand - As them (Bun) Corrupt Lawyers
was Begging, we not to take the
witness stand.

Because they had the Case lost at
that point - it burned in their faces
Because they had the Cases lost
in a failed sick type of way
them (Bun) Corrupt Lawyer had us
Locked, Box, Sealed = Convicted.

As I explained to the 2nd defendant
on the Case - The jury is stacked
against us (They had a dark skin
African American female - Criminologist
(A Joyce Christ) who, them (Bun) Corrupt
Lawyers absolutely refuse to focus
female from the juries.

The juries do not understand why
I am snapping at that idiot Lawyer.
Once the important Jurors realize that am
not illiterate; I did my own trial
we are going to get a hung jury. . . COM

(Con. p. 36) I have explained what went on in the jury room in detail in other documents - I've placed on my website:

1) INNATE CLASSIFIED.COM

(OR)

2) WEBMASTER.COM

The Juror Member A female from Chicago who held out - was 30, worked by that (CIA & FBI) that went into a yellow pages, listed a number of my in-laws.

The Chicago Juror told them that I had saved myself - by taking the witness stand.

Because if I had not taken the witness stand, I would've been convicted for sure.

The Chicago Juror stated, that the only thing I needed was a Lawyer

I would do none, because that (Bun) corrupt Lawyer isn't doing anything for me.

The Chicago Juror also explained that that Criminologist.

was telling the rest of the juries to follow her - that she knew the law to convict me.

The Chicago jury would counter with if you knew the law like you say you did - then you know them boys did not witness his shooting - that they were not all there.
(Emphasis Added)

After I expose to a Lawyer - Valerie Mendoza - that a ~~Jury~~ was in touch with us. It suddenly stopped.

I would refuse to go to another Show trial again with that (Buny) Lawyer - I would attack him in front 400 perspective jurors.

I would shout out to the 400 perspective jurors;

I don't want that (Buny) I am qualified to represent myself.
They are doing a whole lot of illegal stuff in these Courtrooms.

The perspective Mexican jurors stated, they believed what I said. And white women from outside of the Radics - V.P.

(Cont'd pg 37) Santo Monica - she would state,
I am scared of him - He's violent.

Note:

There would be a whole lot of not guilty verdicts (from them 400 perspective jurors (who were dispersed to other courtrooms for trials)).

The (RICO) Judge Cheraske who Court-
room I was sent back to (after the
hung Jury).

Judge Cheraske, would bar me from
the courtroom, while pretending there
was no conflict, i letting that (BUN)
Corrupt Lawyer have pretend there
is no conflicts between us.

These (BUN) appeal lawyers did not
even raise that that (BUN) Lawyer
having presence on my case was
a explicit conflict (I.A.C.T.)
Nor, face the Constant Interference
with my pro per status was a
Conflict of Interest. (VIOLATING
MY CONSTITUTIONAL, DUE PROCESS &
, GUIL RIGHTS. etc. 10)

NOTE:

A Lawyer representing another prisoner
on San Quentin death row - who that
(Bum) Corrupt Lawyer lawyer represented
came to visit me (the Lawyer name is
Bianie Samuelson).

Atty. Samuelson, would tell me that she
had to go see that (Bum) Corrupt Lawyer
lawyer - right after my physical attack,
against him - Atty. Samuelson stated
that that (Bum) Lawyer lawyer would come
straight out, "SAY"

Everybody telling me to
get off of his (my) Case - But he was
not going to mess up his Case.

The Atty. Samuelson told me that
she thought, "Wow! - This man is dangerous,
He should not be practicing Law!"

At this point, I trust I had provided
you with a picture of the wrong that
been deliberately, maliciously, vindictive-
ly - That a intentionally Torture, Mis-
Treatment of Justice was knowingly -
perpetrated against me.

Not to exclude, I've been systematically
targeted by these prison guards/staff to
(Con. pg. 38)

(Cont pg 38) know as I note to class, I did not request the help of these (Corrupt/BUM) public defenders appeal lawyers.

I had requested to do my own appeal, basically laying out:

That a known CRIMINAL was committed against me, to drop me on death row - with level of Complicity / knowing / Intentionally using all false, perjured evidence.

As I anticipated these (Corrupt/BUM) state appeal lawyers would do nothing to attack this (Sham, unlawful conviction) exactly.

These (Corrupt) appeal lawyers did me a great disservice (HARM), malpractice, violating my first, fifth, sixth, eighth, fourteenth Constitutional Rights, Bill of Rights, Civil Rights, Human Rights.

The appeal briefs that were supposedly been submitted on my behalf - were a boiler plate / Gutter, skeletal, I.A.C (Ineffective Assistance of Counsel).

I close praying I finding I can get
some help - in this Mayest I now -
Carries of Justice that been deliberately
perpetrated against me.

RESPECTFULLY
Cedric T. Johnson