

A FORMAL LETTER OF PROTEST

LOS ANGELES  
SUPERIOR COURT  
NOV 7 1998

FILED

AS A SIGN OF PROTEST AND  
OBJECTION TO THESE PROCEEDING  
I CEDRIC JOHNSON IN THE ABOVE  
CASE ATTEMPTED TO PLEAD GUILTY  
TO ALL CHARGES!

TO RE-INFORCE TO ALL THOUGH'S  
INVOLVED MY UNDESIRABLE KNOW-  
LEDGE AN COMPLETE LESION... THAT  
EVERY THING BEING AN HAVE BEEN  
DONE IS WILLFULLY AN DIRECTLY  
IN VIOLATION OF MY CONSTITUTIONAL  
AND CIVIL RIGHTS!

I DO NOT RETREAT FROM MY CHARGES  
THAT A CRIME IS BEING PERPETRATED  
IN THIS COURT HOUSE.

THERE IS DIRECT AN MASSIVE CIRCUM-  
STANTIAL EVIDENCE... TO SUPPORT I AM  
BEING INTENTIONALLY DUMPED! THAT  
EVIDENTIARY EVIDENCE HAVE BEEN MANI-  
PULATED AND DELIBERATELY WITHHELD  
AN IGNORED.

THAT THE CHARGES WAS FILED  
WHEN THOSE INVOLVED KNEW THAT  
THERE WAS NOT A DECK OF RE-  
PUTATION TO THE WITNESSES THEY  
WOULD USE.

THE EVIDENCE AN-

FACTS support a cover up!  
BY ATTORNEYS STEVEN K. HAUSER,  
CHRISTOPHER TAYLOR, DISTRICT ATT.  
GILBERT WRIGHT, AND THE COURT.  
IT SEEMS AS IF THE COURT HAVE  
SANCTIONED, AND EMBRACED... ITS  
ON GOING CRIMINAL ACTIONS AND  
CONDUCT OF THE ABOVE ATTORNEYS...

THE FIFTH AMENDMENT OF THE  
U.S. CONSTITUTION... THE DUE PROCESS  
CLAUSE... INSURES AN INDIVIDUAL THE  
RIGHTS AND THE PROTECTION... NOT TO  
BE CHARGED WITH A CRIME OR  
TAKEN TO TRIAL... UNLESS ITS IS  
SUPPORTED BY PROBABLE CAUSE...  
RELIABLE AND TRUSTWORTHY INFOR-  
MATION OR EVIDENCE...

"IN THIS CASE THERE HAVE NEVER  
BEEN NONE... WHICH COULD ONLY HAD  
BEEN OBVIOUS!!

THE SIXTH AMENDMENT... GIVES ONE  
THE RIGHT TO EFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE  
OF COUNSEL... ONE WHO WILL PRO-  
TECT AND UPHOLD THE CONSTITUTIONS...  
IN THIS CASE, THERE HAVE BEEN  
NO ONE...

AS A MATTER OF FACT STEVEN K.  
HAUSER, STATED TO ME... THAT THE  
CONSTITUTIONS WAS BORING... HE  
COULD NEVER REMIND OR JUSTIFY  
THAT COMMENT, ARE SUBSEQUENCE  
MISLEADING STATEMENTS...

HIS ATTEMPT TO PERSUADE ME  
TO ACCEPT HIM, WAS A Fiasco!  
HE HAD BECOME OBSESSED, DELIRIOUS  
AND BLINDED BY HIS OWN DISRE-  
SPECT AND DISREGARDS FOR THE

Constitutions... the laws and rules  
that govern it.

HALPER, presence from day one  
must be noted AT WITH EXTREME  
CAUTION, AND IS HIGHLY SUSPICIOUS.

The record will show that there  
had been a total breakdown in  
communication from day one. Re-  
taken us, and it only got worse.  
I applied the law ACCORDINGLY with  
all success within 4th edition Attorney  
conduct.

The declaration of the U.S. CON-  
STITUTION, states in part... whenever  
houses become so bad, and the  
government REFUSES to correct  
them... one has the right to re-  
bel!

I had tried to conduct myself  
courteously and strictly by the law.  
to argue issues entirely based  
on their merits. IN RETURN I've been  
disrespected and penalized. BE-  
CAUSE OF MY ABILITIES to compre-  
hend and articulate the law by  
the Constitution and the books!!

The evidence will also support  
my assistance charges of com-  
plicity. AND A concerted effort  
to subvert and undermine this  
case. [Emphasis added.]

One must also keep in view  
Christopher Taylor, and his work-  
ing relationship with District Atty.  
Gilbert Wright, in Compton Court.  
Even before that, was on this  
case leave a deep ethical

QUESTION: that for that to both  
show ~~what~~ up on this case on the  
same day. IS NOT THE METHOD  
THIS TRIAL IS NOTHING BUT A  
CIRCUS AN A STAMP TO CONTINUE  
TO ALLOW THESE PROCEEDING TO  
CONTINUE, IS A VIOLATION OF  
JUSTICE AN A CRIME!

DATED: 11-16-98

Respectfully submitted,  
Caleb Johns

ORIGINAL FILED

NOV 16 1998

LOS ANGELES  
SUPERIOR COURT